

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – BAY AREA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Alameda County | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 25 | 24 |
| – Alameda | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| – Albany [†] | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | – |
| – Berkeley | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 26 |
| – Emeryville | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 7 |
| – Hayward | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 12 |
| – Livermore [†] | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | – |
| – Oakland | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| – Piedmont [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Pleasanton [†] | 3 | 3 | – | – | – | – |
| – San Leandro | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 31 |
| – Union City | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 32 |
| Contra Costa County | 53 | 53 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| – Antioch | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| – Brentwood [†] | 22 | 22 | – | – | – | – |
| – Clayton [†] | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | – |
| – Concord | 31 | 31 | 22 | 22 | 22 | – |
| – Danville [†] | 8 | 15 | – | – | – | – |
| – El Cerrito | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| – Lafayette [†] | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | – |
| – Martinez | 51 | 27 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 18 |
| – Oakley [†] | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | – |
| – Pittsburg | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | – | – |
| – Pleasant Hill [†] | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | – |
| – Richmond | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 31 |
| – Walnut Creek [†] | 24 | 24 | 24 | 21 | 21 | – |
| Marin County[†] | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | – |
| – Belvedere [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Corte Madera [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – BAY AREA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| – Fairfax | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| – Larkspur [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Novato [†] | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | – |
| – Ross [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – San Anselmo [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – San Rafael [†] | 34 | 35 | 35 | 31 | 31 | – |
| – Sausalito [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Tiburon [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| Napa County[†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – American Canyon [†] | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | – |
| – Napa | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| – St. Helena [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Yountville [†] | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | – |
| San Francisco City & Co. | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| San Mateo County[†] | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | – |
| – Belmont | 22 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Brisbane [†] | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | – |
| – Burlingame [†] | 38 | 38 | 38 | 32 | 3 | – |
| – Daly City | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | – | – |
| – Foster City [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Half Moon Bay [†] | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | – |
| – Hillsborough [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Menlo Park [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Millbrae [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Pacifica | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| – Portola Valley [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Redwood City | 28 | 28 | 25 | 25 | 25 | – |
| – San Bruno | 36 | 36 | 30 | – | – | – |
| – San Carlos [†] | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | – |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – BAY AREA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| – San Mateo [†] | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | – |
| – South San Francisco [†] | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | – |
| – Woodside [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| Santa Clara County | | | | | | |
| – Campbell [†] | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | – |
| – Cupertino [†] | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | – |
| – Los Altos [†] | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | – |
| – Los Altos Hills [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Milpitas [†] | 20 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Monte Sereno [†] | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | – |
| – Mountain View [†] | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | – |
| – Palo Alto [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – San Jose | 24 | 24 | 39 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| – Saratoga | 3 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| Santa Cruz County | | | | | | |
| – Capitola | 29 | 29 | 27 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| – Santa Cruz | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 37 | 34 |
| – Scotts Valley [†] | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | – |
| – Watsonville | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | – |
| Solano County[†] | | | | | | |
| – Benicia | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | – |
| – Dixon | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| – Fairfield | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 14 |
| – Rio Vista | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | – |
| – Suisun City | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| – Vacaville | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 22 |
| – Vallejo | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | – | – |
| Sonoma County | | | | | | |
| – Cloverdale | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 15 |
| – Petaluma | 37 | 37 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 |
| – Sebastopol | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – BAY AREA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| – Cotati | 16 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| – Healdsburg | 14 | 14 | – | – | – | – |
| – Petaluma | 18 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Santa Rosa | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| – Sebastopol | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| – Sonoma | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 41 | 35 |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – CENTRAL COAST

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Monterey County | 22 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 |
| – Del Rey Oaks | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| – Greenfield | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| – King City | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | – |
| – Marina | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 21 |
| – Monterey | 15 | 18 | – | – | – | – |
| – Pacific Grove | 33 | 33 | – | – | 23 | – |
| – Salinas | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| – Sand City | 4 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Seaside | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 |
| San Benito County[†] | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | – |
| – Hollister | 34 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| – San Juan Bautista | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| San Luis Obispo County[†] | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | – |
| – Arroyo Grande [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Atascadero [†] | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 29 | – |
| – Grover Beach | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| – Morro Bay | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| – Paso Robles [†] | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | – |

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – CENTRAL COAST

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| – Pismo Beach [†] | 15 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – San Luis Obispo | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
| Santa Barbara County | 32 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 27 |
| – Buellton [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Carpinteria [†] | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | – |
| – Goleta | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| – Guadalupe | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 | – | – |
| – Lompoc | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| – Santa Barbara | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| – Santa Maria [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Solvang | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Ventura County[†] | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | – |
| – Camarillo [†] | 16 | 16 | – | – | – | – |
| – Moorpark [†] | 8 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Ojai | 9 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 6 |
| – Oxnard | 39 | 39 | 45 | 41 | 41 | 26 |
| – Port Hueneme | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| – Thousand Oaks | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| – Ventura | 31 | 31 | 33 | 33 | – | – |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – CENTRAL VALLEY

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Colusa County | | | | | | |
| – Colusa | 18 | 18 | 8 | – | – | – |
| Fresno County | | | | | | |
| – Clovis [†] | 15 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Coalinga | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 29 |
| – Firebaugh | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| – Fresno | 54 | 54 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| – Mendota | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 23 | 23 |
| – Parlier | 23 | 23 | 23 | 32 | 32 | – |
| Kern County | | | | | | |
| – Arvin [†] | 22 | 22 | 22 | 17 | 17 | – |
| – California City | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| – McFarland | 39 | 30 | – | – | – | – |
| Kings County | | | | | | |
| – Corcoran | 17 | 17 | – | – | – | – |
| – Hanford | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 |
| – Lemoore | 10 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Madera County | | | | | | |
| – Chowchilla [†] | 15 | 15 | – | – | – | – |
| – Madera | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | – | – |
| Merced County[†] | | | | | | |
| – Atwater | 22 | 22 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| – Gustine | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| – Livingston [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Merced | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 32 |
| – Atwater | 22 | 22 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| – Gustine | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| – Livingston [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Merced | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 32 |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – CENTRAL VALLEY

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Joaquin County[†] | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | – |
| – Lathrop | 28 | 28 | 28 | 16 | – | – |
| – Manteca | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | – | – |
| – Stockton | 43 | 43 | 43 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| – Tracy | 28 | 28 | 23 | 23 | 31 | 17 |
| Stanislaus County | 27 | 30 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| – Ceres | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| – Modesto | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 |
| – Oakdale | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 15 |
| – Patterson | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 14 |
| – Riverbank | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 |
| – Turlock | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 11 |
| – Waterford | 17 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 |
| Sutter County[†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| Tulare County | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| – Exeter | 29 | 29 | 29 | – | – | – |
| – Farmersville | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| – Lindsay | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| – Porterville | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 10 |
| – Tulare | 32 | 32 | 32 | 26 | 26 | 13 |
| – Visalia [†] | 14 | 8 | – | – | – | – |
| – Woodlake | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – GOLD COUNTRY

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Calaveras County | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 22 |
| – Angels Camp [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| El Dorado County | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 33 |
| – Placerville | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 19 |
| – South Lake Tahoe | 35 | 38 | 38 | 35 | 23 | 23 |
| Inyo County | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| – Bishop | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | – | – |
| Mono County | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| – Mammoth Lakes | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 16 |
| Tuolumne County[†] | 14 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Sonora | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 18 |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Butte County[†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Biggs [†] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | – |
| – Chico | 50 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | – |
| Del Norte County | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 16 |
| – Crescent City | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | – |
| Glenn County | 2 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| – Willows | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Humboldt County | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| – Arcata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| – Eureka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| – Rio Dell | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 |
| – Trinidad [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| Lake County | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| – Clearlake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| – Lakeport [†] | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | – | – |
| Lassen County | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Mendocino County | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| – Fort Bragg | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| – Point Arena | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| – Ukiah | 15 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| – Willits | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Modoc County | | | | | | |
| – Alturas | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Nevada County | 19 | 30 | – | – | – | – |
| – Grass Valley | 22 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | – |
| – Nevada City | 32 | 32 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| – Truckee [†] | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | – |
| Plumas County [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Portola [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| Shasta County | | | | | | |
| – Anderson | 25 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Redding | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| – Shasta Lake | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Sierra County [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Loyalton [†] | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| Siskiyou County | | | | | | |
| – Dunsmuir | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| – Fort Jones [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Mount Shasta | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| – Weed | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 21 |
| Tehama County | | | | | | |
| – Red Bluff | 13 | 13 | 13 | – | – | – |
| Trinity County | 13 | 13 | 13 | – | – | – |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – SACRAMENTO AREA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Placer County | | | | | | |
| – Colfax | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 22 |
| Sacramento County | | | | | | |
| – Citrus Heights [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Isleton | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| – Sacramento | 33 | 32 | 32 | 29 | 29 | 28 |
| Yolo County | | | | | | |
| – Davis | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | – | – |
| – West Sacramento [†] | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| – Woodland | 5 | 5 | – | – | – | – |
| Yuba County | | | | | | |
| – Marysville | 23 | 23 | 23 | – | – | – |
| Yuba County | | | | | | |
| – Marysville | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – SAN DIEGO AREA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Imperial County | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| – Calexico | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| – El Centro | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | – |
| – Holtville [†] | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | – |
| – Imperial | 20 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 19 |
| – Westmorland [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| San Diego County | 29 | 29 | 29 | 23 | 27 | 27 |
| – Carlsbad [†] | 16 | 16 | – | – | – | – |
| – Chula Vista | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 44 |
| – Encinitas | 28 | 28 | 28 | 22 | 22 | – |
| – Imperial Beach | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| – La Mesa | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| – Lemon Grove | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| – National City | 28 | 28 | 28 | 16 | – | – |
| – Oceanside [†] | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | – |
| – San Diego | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| – San Marcos [†] | 6 | 6 | – | – | – | – |
| – Santee | 28 | 25 | 25 | – | – | – |
| – Vista | 32 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 23 | 23 |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Los Angeles County | | | | | | |
| – Alhambra | 13 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Artesia | 15 | 15 | 15 | – | – | – |
| – Avalon [†] | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | – |
| – Baldwin Park | 33 | 33 | 31 | – | – | – |
| – Bell [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Bellflower | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| – Bell Gardens | 23 | 22 | – | – | – | – |
| – Beverly Hills [†] | 29 | 29 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Calabasas [†] | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | – |
| – Carson [†] | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | – |
| – Commerce [†] | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | – |
| – Covina | 23 | 23 | – | – | – | – |
| – Cudahy | 30 | 30 | 30 | – | – | – |
| – Culver City | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 27 |
| – Diamond Bar [†] | 22 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – El Monte | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 44 |
| – Hawthorne | 14 | 14 | 14 | – | – | – |
| – Hermosa Beach [†] | 21 | 21 | 21 | – | – | – |
| – Huntington Park | 25 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| – Lancaster | 19 | 19 | 11 | – | – | – |
| – Long Beach | 34 | 34 | 34 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| – Los Angeles | 39 | 39 | 39 | 30 | 31 | 31 |
| – Lynwood | 38 | 38 | 38 | – | – | – |
| – Malibu | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| – Maywood | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| – Montebello [†] | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | – |
| – Monterey Park [†] | 8 | 8 | – | – | – | – |
| – Palos Verdes Estates [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| – Pasadena | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 |
| – Pomona | 60 | 60 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 |
| – Redondo Beach | 29 | 29 | 23 | – | – | – |
| – Rolling Hills [†] | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | – |
| – Rosemead [†] | 15 | 15 | – | – | – | – |
| – San Fernando [†] | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | – |
| – San Gabriel [†] | 23 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | – |
| – Santa Monica | 25 | 25 | 25 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| – South El Monte | 38 | 38 | 38 | – | – | – |
| – Torrance [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – | – |
| – West Hollywood | 6 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| – Westlake Village [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| Orange County | | | | | | |
| – Costa Mesa | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | – | – |
| – Cypress [†] | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | – |
| – Garden Grove [†] | 22 | 22 | – | – | – | – |
| – Irvine [†] | 15 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – La Habra [†] | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | – |
| – Laguna Woods [†] | 25 | 25 | – | – | – | – |
| – Santa Ana | 40 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| – Stanton | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | – |
| – Tustin [†] | 16 | – | – | – | – | – |
| Riverside County | 28 | 28 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| – Banning | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| – Beaumont [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Blythe | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| – Calimesa [†] | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | – |
| – Canyon Lake | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | – | – |
| – Cathedral City | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 16 |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.

THE STATE OF CANNABIS POLICY IN CALIFORNIA'S CITIES & COUNTIES

REGIONAL SCORE SUMMARY – SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

| JURISDICTION | 2025 | 2024 | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| – Coachella | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 5 |
| – Corona | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | – |
| – Desert Hot Springs | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 13 |
| – Eastvale [†] | 8 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Hemet | 21 | 27 | 27 | 27 | – | – |
| – Indio | 14 | 14 | 14 | – | – | – |
| – Jurupa Valley | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 |
| – La Quinta [†] | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | – |
| – Lake Elsinore | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| – Moreno Valley | 17 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 17 |
| – Murrieta [†] | 19 | – | – | – | – | – |
| – Palm Desert | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| – Palm Springs | 23 | 19 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| – Perris | 21 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 21 |
| – Rancho Mirage [†] | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | – |
| – Riverside | 26 | 20 | – | – | – | – |
| – San Jacinto | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| – Temecula [†] | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | – |
| – Wildomar | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 17 | – |
| San Bernardino County | | | | | | |
| – Adelanto | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| – Barstow | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | – | – |
| – Colton [†] | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | – |
| – Fontana | 27 | 27 | 27 | – | – | – |
| – Hesperia [†] | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | – |
| – Needles | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 14 |
| – Rancho Cucamonga [†] | 8 | 8 | – | – | – | – |
| – San Bernardino | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 32 |
| – Victorville [†] | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | – |
| – Yucca Valley [†] | 8 | 8 | – | – | – | – |

NOTE:

The highest total score possible is 100 points.

Not all counties and cities have permitted sales or implemented policies. Some jurisdictions are not listed.

If a city and county are listed on the same row of this chart, the score represents the city, not the county.

If a county score is listed, it refers to laws for the unincorporated area of that county.

[†] These cities and counties only offer delivery-based retail.